arXiv:1101.4484v2 [math.QA] 30 May 2011

SOME GENERAL RESULTS ON CONFORMAL EMBEDDINGS OF AFFINE VERTEX OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

DRAŽEN ADAMOVIĆ AND OZREN PERŠE

Abstract. We give a general criterion for conformal embeddings of vertex operator algebras associated to affine Lie algebras at arbitrary levels. Using that criterion, we construct new conformal embeddings at admissible rational and negative integer levels. In particular, we construct all remaining conformal embeddings associated to automorphisms of Dynkin diagrams of simple Lie algebras. The semisimplicity of the corresponding decompositions is obtained by using the concept of fusion rules for vertex operator algebras.

1. Introduction

Let U and V be vertex operator algebras of affine type. We say that U is conformally embedded into V if U can be realized as a vertex subalgebra of V with the same Sugawara Virasoro vector. The most interesting case of conformal embedding is when V is a finite direct sum of irreducible U–modules. These conformal embeddings are studied in various aspects of conformal field theory (cf. [6], [8], [9], [15],[22], [36]), the theory of tensor categories (cf. [21], [28]) and in the representation theory of affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras (cf. [12]). The construction and classification of conformal embeddings have mostly been studied for simple affine vertex operator algebras of positive levels. Some examples of conformal embeddings at rational admissible levels were constructed in [33] and [35].

In the present paper we give both necessary and sufficient conditions for conformal embeddings at general levels, within the framework of vertex operator algebra theory. In this way we will be able to construct new examples of conformal embeddings at rational and negative integer levels. Let us explain our results in more details.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{g}_0$ its subalgebra which is a reductive Lie algebra. Let $N_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ be the universal affine vertex operator algebra of level $k \neq -h^\vee$ associated to $\mathfrak{g}$, and $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ its simple quotient. Then $\mathfrak{g}_0$ generates a subalgebra $U_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ (resp. $\widetilde{U}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$) of $N_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ (resp. $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$). Let $\omega_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ be the Virasoro vector in $U_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ which is a sum of usual Virasoro vectors obtained by using Sugawara construction. Let $\overline{L}(z) =$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B69, Secondary 17B67, 81R10.

$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \overline{L}(n)z^{-n-2}$ be the associated Virasoro field. We prove the following result:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus W$ *such that* W *is a* $\mathfrak{g}_0$*–module orthogonal to* $\mathfrak{g}_0$*. Then* $\widetilde{U}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ *is conformally embedded into* $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ *if and only if the following condition holds*

$$\overline{L}(0)x(-1)\mathbf{1} = x(-1)\mathbf{1} \qquad (x \in W). \tag{1.1}$$

Moreover, the condition (1.1) implies that $N_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ *contains a singular vector of conformal weight* 2*.*

If k is a positive integer, then $N_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ has a singular vector of conformal weight 2 if and only if $k = 1$. So we only have conformal embeddings into $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(1,0)$. But in general we have such singular vectors for other values of k (cf. Remark 2.4).

Next we apply Theorem 1.1 in the case when $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a simple Lie algebra, and

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mu_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mu_s),$$

where $V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mu_i)$ is irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module with highest weight μ_i, and $V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mu_i)$ $(i = 1,\dots,s)$ is orthogonal to $\mathfrak{g}_0$ with respect to the invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$. Denote by $\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0)$ the subalgebra of $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ generated by $\mathfrak{g}_0$, where $k' = ak$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}$ is the Dynkin index of the embedding $\mathfrak{g}_0 < \mathfrak{g}$. Note that in the case of general levels, vertex algebra $\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0)$ is not necessarily simple.

Theorem 1.1 then implies that the key condition for the conformal embedding is that the eigenvalue of the Casimir operator of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is the same for all $V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mu_i)$, $i = 1,\dots,s$. More precisely, if

$$(\mu_i, \mu_i + 2\rho_0)_0 = (\mu_j, \mu_j + 2\rho_0)_0 \qquad \text{for all } i,j = 1,\dots,s,$$

where $(\cdot,\cdot)_0$ denotes the suitably normalized invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}_0$, then for k, k' such that

$$\frac{(\mu_i, \mu_i + 2\rho_0)_0}{2(k' + h_0^\vee)} = 1, \quad (i = 1,\dots,s),$$

vertex operator algebra $\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0)$ is conformally embedded into $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$.

In order to obtain the conformal embedding of simple vertex operator algebras $L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0) < L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ and complete reducibility of $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ as a $L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0)$–module, we additionally assume that $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is obtained as a fixed point subalgebra of an automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ of finite order. Then this automorphism naturally acts on $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$, so one can use the results from orbifold theory [14]. Under certain natural conditions we get

$$L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0) = L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0) \oplus L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\mu_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\mu_s).$$

These conditions involve some information about fusion rules of $L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0)$–modules (cf. Theorem 3.1).

Our methods enable us to construct all conformal embeddings associated to automorphisms of Dynkin diagrams. Let us illustrate this result by the following table:

Table A, $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g}_0$ are simple Lie algebras, $\mathfrak{g}_0 < \mathfrak{g}$.

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{g}_0$	decomposition of $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$	k	k'
$A_{2\ell-1}$	C_ℓ	$L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0) \oplus L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\omega_2)$	-1	-1
$A_{2\ell}$	B_ℓ	$L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0) \oplus L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',2\omega_1)$	1	2
A_2	A_1	$L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0) \oplus L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',4\omega_1)$	1	4
D_ℓ	$B_{\ell-1}$	$L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0) \oplus L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\omega_1)$	$-\ell+2$	$-\ell+2$
E_6	F_4	$L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0) \oplus L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\omega_4)$	-3	-3
D_4	G_2	$L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0) \oplus L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\omega_2) \oplus$ $L^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\omega_1) \oplus L^{(2)}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\omega_1)$	-2	-2

In order to describe all conformal embeddings for such automorphisms, we include into this table some well-known conformal embeddings at positive integer levels in the cases $(A_{2\ell}, B_\ell)$, (A_2, A_1) (cf. [22]; see also [4], [37]) and the conformal embedding for pair $(A_{2\ell-1}, C_\ell)$ from [5]. But other conformal embeddings at negative integer levels are new.

We also apply our methods to affine vertex operator algebras at admissible levels (cf. [1], [3], [26], [27], [32], [33], [34], [37]) and get new conformal embedding of $L_{A_2}(-5/3,0)$ into $L_{G_2}(-5/3,0)$ with decomposition

$$L_{G_2}(-5/3,0) = L_{A_2}(-5/3,0) \oplus L_{A_2}(-5/3,\omega_1) \oplus L_{A_2}(-5/3,\omega_2).$$

We also determine the decomposition for the conformal embedding of $L_{D_\ell}(-\ell+3/2,0)$ into $L_{B_\ell}(-\ell+3/2,0)$ from [35].

We should also mention that our methods give an uniform proof for a family of conformal embeddings which does not require explicit realizations of affine Lie algebras nor explicit formulas for singular vectors. In most cases one can check all conditions for conformal embeddings only by using tensor product decompositions of finite-dimensional modules of simple Lie algebras.

The notion of conformal embedding is also closely related to the notion of extension of vertex operator algebra. We note that simple current extensions of affine vertex operator algebras at positive integer levels were studied in [13], [31].

We assume that the reader is familiar with the notion of vertex operator algebra (cf. [10], [18], [19]).

2. A GENERAL CRITERION FOR CONFORMAL EMBEDDINGS

In this section we shall derive a general criterion for the conformal embedding of affine vertex operator algebras associated to a pair of Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a simple Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{g}_0$ its reductive subalgebra.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple complex finite-dimensional Lie algebra with a non-degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot)$. We can normalize the form on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $(\theta,\theta) = 2$, where θ is the highest root of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let ρ be the sum of all fundamental weights for $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $h^\vee$ be the dual Coxeter number for $\mathfrak{g}$.

Assume that $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that

(1) bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is non-degenerate and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus W$ is an orthogonal sum of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and a $\mathfrak{g}_0$–module W;
(2) $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \oplus_{i=0}^n \mathfrak{g}_{0,i}$ is an orthogonal sum of commutative subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{0,0}$ and simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_{0,1}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}_{0,n}$ (i.e., $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a reductive Lie algebra).

Let $(\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}_{0,i}}$ be the non-degenerate bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}_{0,i}$ normalized such that $(\theta_{0,i}, \theta_{0,i})_{\mathfrak{g}_{0,i}} = 2$, where $\theta_{0,i}$ is the highest root of $\mathfrak{g}_{0,i}$. One can show that

$$a_i\ (\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}_{0,i}} = (\cdot,\cdot)|\mathfrak{g}_{0,i} \times \mathfrak{g}_{0,i} \quad \text{for certain } a_i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(For $i = 0$, we can take $a_0 = 1$).

The $(n+1)$–tuple $(a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n)$ is called the Dynkin multi-index of embedding $\mathfrak{g}_0$ into $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\{u^{i,j}\}_j$, $\{v^{i,j}\}_j$ be a pair of dual bases for $\mathfrak{g}_{0,i}$ such that $(u^{i,j}, v^{i,k})_{\mathfrak{g}_{0,i}} = \delta_{j,k}$. Let $h^\vee_{0,i}$ be the dual Coxeter number for $\mathfrak{g}_{0,i}$. (For $i = 0$ we take $h^\vee_{0,0} = 0$).

Let $N_\mathfrak{g}(k,0)$ be the universal affine vertex operator algebra of level $k \neq -h^\vee$ associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ (cf. [17], [20], [25], [29], [30]). Let $N^1_\mathfrak{g}(k,0)$ be the maximal ideal of $N_\mathfrak{g}(k,0)$, and $L_\mathfrak{g}(k,0) = N_\mathfrak{g}(k,0)/N^1_\mathfrak{g}(k,0)$ the corresponding simple vertex operator algebra.

Then $\mathfrak{g}_{0,i}$ generates a vertex subalgebra of $N_\mathfrak{g}(k,0)$ which is isomorphic to $N_{\mathfrak{g}_{0,i}}(k_i,0)$ for $k_i = a_i k$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{g}_0$ generates a subalgebra of $N_\mathfrak{g}(k,0)$ which is isomorphic to

$$U_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = \otimes_{i=0}^n N_{\mathfrak{g}_{0,i}}(k_i,0).$$

Let $\widetilde{U}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ be the subalgebra of $L_\mathfrak{g}(k,0)$ generated by $\mathfrak{g}_0$. Then $\widetilde{U}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ is isomorphic to

$$\widetilde{U}_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = \otimes_{i=0}^n \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_{0,i}}(k_i,0),$$

where $\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_{0,i}}(k_i,0)$ is a certain quotient of $N_{\mathfrak{g}_{0,i}}(k_i,0)$.

Definition 2.1. *We say that the vertex operator algebra $\widetilde{U}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ is conformally embedded into $L_\mathfrak{g}(k,0)$, if $\widetilde{U}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ is a vertex subalgebra of $L_\mathfrak{g}(k,0)$ with the same Virasoro vector.*

Let $\omega_\mathfrak{g}$ be the usual Sugawara Virasoro vector in $N_\mathfrak{g}(k,0)$ and let

$$\omega_{\mathfrak{g}_{0,i}} = \frac{1}{2(k_i + h^\vee_{0,i})} \sum_{j=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_{0,i}} u^{i,j}(-1) v^{i,j}(-1)\mathbf{1}$$

be the Sugawara Virasoro vector in $N_{\mathfrak{g}_{0,i}}(k_i,0)$ $(i = 0, \dots, n)$.

Then

$$\omega_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = \omega_{\mathfrak{g}_{0,0}} + \cdots + \omega_{\mathfrak{g}_{0,n}}$$

is the Virasoro vector in $U_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$.

As usual we identify $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ with $x(-1)\mathbf{1} \in N_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$. Therefore, W can be considered as a subspace of $N_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$.

Let

$$\overline{L}(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \overline{L}(n) z^{-n-2} = Y(\omega_{\mathfrak{g}_0}, z).$$

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that*

$$\overline{L}(0)v = v \quad \textit{for every} \ \ v \in W. \tag{2.1}$$

Then

$$\omega_{\mathfrak{g}} - \omega_{\mathfrak{g}_0} \in N^1_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0).$$

In particular, $\widetilde{U}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ is conformally embedded into $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ if and only if the condition (2.1) holds.

Proof. It suffices to prove that

$$x(1)(\omega_{\mathfrak{g}} - \omega_{\mathfrak{g}_0}) = 0 \qquad (x \in \mathfrak{g}). \tag{2.2}$$

By using properties of the Virasoro vector $\omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$ we get

$$x(1)\omega_{\mathfrak{g}} = x(-1)\mathbf{1} \quad (x \in \mathfrak{g}), \tag{2.3}$$

$$x(1)\omega_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = x(-1)\mathbf{1} \quad (x \in \mathfrak{g}_0). \tag{2.4}$$

Therefore the condition (2.2) holds for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. Assume now that $x \in W$. Since $W \perp \mathfrak{g}_0$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} x(1)\omega_{\mathfrak{g}_0} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_{0,i}} \frac{1}{2(k_i + h^\vee_{0,i})} [[x, u^{i,j}], v^{i,j}](-1)\mathbf{1} \\ &= \overline{L}(0) x(-1)\mathbf{1} = x(-1)\mathbf{1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

This proves that $\widetilde{U}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ is conformally embedded into $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$.

It is also clear that the condition (2.1) is a necessary condition for conformal embedding. □

Remark 2.3. *If $\widetilde{U}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ is conformally embedded into $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$, the equality of Virasoro vectors implies the equality of central charges. By using usual formulas for central charges of Sugawara Virasoro vectors we get*

$$\dim(\mathfrak{g}_{0,0}) + \frac{k_1 \dim(\mathfrak{g}_{0,1})}{k_1 + h^\vee_{0,1}} + \cdots + \frac{k_n \dim(\mathfrak{g}_{0,n})}{k_n + h^\vee_{0,n}} = \frac{k \dim(\mathfrak{g})}{k + h^\vee}. \tag{2.6}$$

So our condition (2.1) from Theorem 2.2 implies numerical criterion (2.6). But, in general, (2.6) is only a necessary condition for conformal embeddings.

Remark 2.4. *Assume that the conditions from Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. Then $N_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ must have at least one singular vector at conformal weight* 2*. Some explicit formulas for these singular vectors appeared in* [1]*,* [2]*,* [32]*,* [33]*,* [34] *and recently in* [7]*.*

We shall now see how the theory presented here fits into some examples.

Example 2.5. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple complex Lie algebra of type A, D or E, $\mathfrak{h}$ its Cartan subalgebra and Δ its root system. Then*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus W, \qquad W = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}.$$

Take now $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$ in the above construction. Then

$$\overline{L}(0)|W \equiv Id \quad iff \quad k = 1.$$

This leads to the conformal embedding

$$L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(1,0) < L_{\mathfrak{g}}(1,0).$$

Of course, this can be easily verified by using explicit vertex operator construction of $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(1,0)$ (cf. [19], [25]*).*

Example 2.6. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2\ell, \mathbb{C})$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{gl}(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ can be realized as a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ spanned by Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ and short root vectors. We have*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus W, \qquad W = V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(2\omega_1) \oplus V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(2\omega_{\ell}).$$

Then

$$\overline{L}(0)|W \equiv Id \quad iff \quad k = 1 \ or \ k = -1/2.$$

This leads to the conformal embeddings

$$L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(2,0) < L_{\mathfrak{g}}(1,0), \qquad \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(-1,0) < L_{\mathfrak{g}}(-1/2,0).$$

Example 2.7. *By using the same method as above we obtain the following conformal embeddings:*

(1) *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(\ell+1, \mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{gl}(\ell, \mathbb{C})$. Then we have conformal embeddings:*

$$L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(1,0) < L_{\mathfrak{g}}(1,0), \qquad \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(-\tfrac{\ell+1}{2},0) < L_{\mathfrak{g}}(-\tfrac{\ell+1}{2},0).$$

(2) *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}(2\ell, \mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{gl}(\ell, \mathbb{C})$. Then we have conformal embeddings:*

$$L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(1,0) < L_{\mathfrak{g}}(1,0), \qquad \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(-2,0) < L_{\mathfrak{g}}(-2,0).$$

Remark 2.8. *One can show that in examples presented above the vertex operator algebra $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ is not a finite sum of irreducible $L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0)$–modules. But it is still possible that there exists certain twisted $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$–module which is a finite sum of irreducible twisted $L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0)$–modules. In particular, this holds for the $\mathbb{Z}_2$–twisted module for $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(1,0)$ which gives principal realization of level one modules for affine Lie algebras in Example 2.5.*

It seems that to get conformal embeddings such that $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ is a finite sum of irreducible $L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0)$–modules, one needs to consider the case when $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is semisimple. In this article we shall make the first step and consider the important case when $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a simple Lie algebra.

So we shall now assume that $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a simple Lie algebra. In the above settings we take $n = 1$, $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_{0,1}$. Set

$$(\cdot,\cdot)_0 = (\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}_{0,1}}, \quad h_0^{\vee} = h_{0,1}^{\vee}, \quad a = a_1.$$

Let ρ_0 be the sum of all dominant integral weights for $\mathfrak{g}_0$.

Assume that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_s,$$

such that

1. $\mathfrak{g}_i = V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mu_i)$ is an irreducible finite-dimensional highest weight $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module with highest weight μ_i,
2. $\mathfrak{g}_i \perp \mathfrak{g}_0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s$ (with respect to $(\cdot,\cdot)$),
3. $(\mu_i, \mu_i + 2\rho_0)_0 = (\mu_j, \mu_j + 2\rho_0)_0 \qquad (i, j > 0)$.

Then $\mathfrak{g}_0$ generates a subalgebra of $N_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ isomorphic to $U_{\mathfrak{g}_0} \cong N_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0)$, where $k' = ak$.

Let $\omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$ (resp. $\omega_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$) be the Virasoro vector in $N_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ (resp. $N_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0)$) obtained by the Sugawara construction.

In what follows we choose k such that

$$\frac{(\mu_i, \mu_i + 2\rho_0)_0}{2(k' + h_0^\vee)} = 1, \quad (i = 1, \ldots, s). \tag{2.7}$$

Let $W = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_s$. Then W is a $\mathfrak{g}_0$–module such that

$$\overline{L}(0)x(-1)\mathbf{1} = x(-1)\mathbf{1} \qquad (x \in W).$$

As before, let $\widetilde{U}_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0)$ be the subalgebra of $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ generated by $\mathfrak{g}_0$. Now Theorem 2.2 implies the following result:

Corollary 2.9. *Assume that the above conditions hold. Then* $\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0)$ *is conformally embedded into* $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$.

The simplicity of the vertex operator algebra $\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0)$ will be investigated in the next section.

3. ON SEMISIMPLICITY OF $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ AS A $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_0}$–MODULE

In this section we give sufficient conditions for complete reducibility of $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ as a $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_0}$–module. In particular, we obtain the conformal embedding of simple vertex operator algebras $L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0) < L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$.

We assume that $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a simple Lie algebra and that all conditions on embedding $\mathfrak{g}_0 < \mathfrak{g}$ from Section 2 hold. Furthermore, we assume that $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is the fixed point subalgebra of an automorphism σ of $\mathfrak{g}$ of order $s+1$, and that $V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mu_i)$ is the eigenspace associated to the eigenvalue ξ^i (for $i = 1, \ldots, s$), where ξ denotes the corresponding primitive root of unity. Then σ can be extended to a finite-order automorphism of the simple vertex operator algebra $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ which admits the following decomposition

$$L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0) = L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)^0 \oplus L_g(k,0)^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)^s$$

where

$$L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)^i = \{v \in L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0) \mid \sigma(v) = \xi^i v\}.$$

Clearly $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)^i$ is a $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_0}$–module.

For a dominant weight μ for $\mathfrak{g}_0$ we define $\widehat{\mu} = k'\Lambda_0 + \mu$. Denote by $L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\mu)$ the irreducible highest weight $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_0}$–module with highest weight $\widehat{\mu}$.

Note that the lowest conformal weight of any $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_0}$–module with highest weight $\widehat{\mu}$ is given by the formula

$$\frac{(\mu, \mu + 2\rho_0)_0}{2(k' + h_0^\vee)}. \tag{3.1}$$

We shall make the following assumptions:

$$V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mu_i) \otimes V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mu_j) = V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mu_l) \oplus \bigoplus_{r=1}^{m_{i,j}} V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\nu_{r,i,j}) \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)^l \text{ does not contain } \widehat{\mathfrak{g}_0} - \text{singular vector of weight } \widehat{\nu_{r,i,j}}, \\ &(\text{where } l = i + j \pmod{s+1}\ ), \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ and $r = 1, \dots, m_{i,j}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that conditions (3.2) and (3.3) hold. Then*

$$L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0) = L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0) \oplus L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\mu_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\mu_s).$$

Proof. We have already seen that $\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0)$ is conformally embedded into $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$. We also have that $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ contains $\hat{\mathfrak{g}_0}$–submodules $\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\mu_i)$ generated by top components $V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mu_i)$, $(i = 1, \dots, s)$. By using assumptions (3.2) and (3.3) and standard fusion rules arguments (similarly as in [5, Lemma 8.1]) one can conclude that if

$$u \in V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mu_i) \subset \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\mu_i), \quad v \in V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mu_j) \subset \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\mu_j)$$

then

$$u_n v \in \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\mu_l) \qquad (l = i + j \pmod{s+1}).$$

(Here $\mu_0 = 0$). So $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ contains a vertex subalgebra isomorphic to

$$\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0) \oplus \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\mu_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\mu_s).$$

Since every generator of $\mathfrak{g}$ belongs to this subalgebra we get that

$$L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0) = \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0) \oplus \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\mu_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\mu_s).$$

Therefore $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{s+1}$–graded, and each component is a highest weight $\hat{\mathfrak{g}_0}$–module. Simplicity of $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ gives that $\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0)$ is a simple vertex operator algebra and $\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\mu_i)$ is its simple module $(i = 1, \dots, s)$ (cf. [14]). The proof follows. □

4. Some conformal embeddings

In this section we shall apply results from Sections 2 and 3 and obtain some conformal embeddings at negative integer and rational levels. In this way we shall present a new uniform proof of conformal embeddings which will include embeddings from [5] and [35], and give some new conformal embeddings associated to pairs of simple Lie algebras (G_2, A_2), (E_6, F_4) and $(D_\ell, B_{\ell-1})$.

Table 1. $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g}_0$ are simple Lie algebras, $\mathfrak{g}_0 < \mathfrak{g}$.

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\mathfrak{g}_0$	decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$	k	k'
$A_{2\ell-1}$	C_ℓ	$\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\omega_2)$	-1	-1
D_ℓ	$B_{\ell-1}$	$\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\omega_1)$	$-\ell+2$	$-\ell+2$
E_6	F_4	$\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\omega_4)$	-3	-3
G_2	A_2	$\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\omega_1) \oplus V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\omega_2)$	$-5/3$	$-5/3$
B_ℓ	D_ℓ	$\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\omega_1)$	$-\ell+3/2$	$-\ell+3/2$

In the first three cases $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is the fixed point subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ of a Dynkin diagram automorphism of order two described in [24], Section 8. (Note also that the pair $(A_{2\ell-1}, C_\ell)$ was studied in [5]).

When $\mathfrak{g}$ is a simple Lie algebra of type G_2, then $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a Lie subalgebra generated by long root vectors. The pair (B_ℓ, D_ℓ) was described in [35] (see also [16]).

Theorem 4.1. *For every pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ of simple Lie algebras from Table 1, we have that $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ is a completely reducible $L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0)$–module:*

$$L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0) = L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0) \oplus L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\mu_1) \oplus \cdots L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',\mu_s)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mu_1) \oplus \cdots V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mu_s),$$

k and k' are as in Table 1. In particular, $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{s+1}$–graded extension of $L_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0)$.

Proof. The assertion when $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0) = (A_{2\ell-1}, C_\ell)$ was proved in [5] by using similar method. We shall now consider other cases.

By using decompositions from Table 1, we see that there is a conformal embedding of $\widetilde{L}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(k',0)$ into $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$. Next we need to check that all pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0)$ satisfy conditions from Theorem 3.1. We use the tensor product decompositions from Lemma 4.2 (see below). Assume first that $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_0) \neq (E_6, F_4)$. Then we directly see that for every weight $\nu_{i,j,m}$ appearing in tensor product decomposition, singular vector of weight $\widehat{\nu_{i,j,m}}$ does not have integral conformal weight (see Lemma 4.3 below). Therefore there are no singular vectors of such conformal weights in $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$.

By using different methods we directly see in Lemma 4.4 that such condition holds for the pair (E_6, F_4). The proof now follows from Theorem 3.1. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *We have the following tensor product decompositions:*

(1) $V_{A_2}(\omega_1) \otimes V_{A_2}(\omega_1) = V_{A_2}(2\omega_1) \oplus V_{A_2}(\omega_2)$,
(2) $V_{A_2}(\omega_2) \otimes V_{A_2}(\omega_2) = V_{A_2}(2\omega_2) \oplus V_{A_2}(\omega_1)$,
(3) $V_{A_2}(\omega_1) \otimes V_{A_2}(\omega_2) = V_{A_2}(\omega_1+\omega_2) \oplus V_{A_2}(0)$,
(4) $V_{F_4}(\omega_4) \otimes V_{F_4}(\omega_4) = V_{F_4}(2\omega_4) \oplus V_{F_4}(\omega_3) \oplus V_{F_4}(\omega_4) \oplus V_{F_4}(\omega_1) \oplus V_{F_4}(0)$,
(5) $V_{B_{\ell-1}}(\omega_1) \otimes V_{B_{\ell-1}}(\omega_1) = V_{B_{\ell-1}}(2\omega_1) \oplus V_{B_{\ell-1}}(\omega_2) \oplus V_{B_{\ell-1}}(0) \quad (\ell \geq 4)$,
(6) $V_{D_\ell}(\omega_1) \otimes V_{D_\ell}(\omega_1) = V_{D_\ell}(2\omega_1) \oplus V_{D_\ell}(\omega_2) \oplus V_{D_\ell}(0)$.

Using relation (3.1) we obtain:

Lemma 4.3. *We have:*
(1) *The lowest conformal weights of* $A_2^{(1)}$*–modules with highest weights* $-\frac{11}{3}\Lambda_0+2\Lambda_i$ $(i=1,2)$ *and* $-\frac{11}{3}\Lambda_0+\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2$ *are* $\frac{5}{2}$ *and* $\frac{9}{4}$*, respectively.*
(2) *The lowest conformal weights of* $B_{\ell-1}^{(1)}$*–modules with highest weights* $-\ell\Lambda_0+2\Lambda_1$ *and* $-\ell\Lambda_0+\Lambda_2$ *are* $2+\frac{1}{\ell-1}$ *and* $2-\frac{1}{\ell-1}$*, respectively.*
(3) *The lowest conformal weights of* $D_\ell^{(1)}$*–modules with highest weights* $(-\ell-\frac{1}{2})\Lambda_0+2\Lambda_1$ *and* $(-\ell-\frac{1}{2})\Lambda_0+\Lambda_2$ *are* $2+\frac{2}{2\ell-1}$ *and* $2-\frac{2}{2\ell-1}$*, respectively.*

Lemma 4.4. *As an* $F_4^{(1)}$*–module,* $L_{E_6}(-3,0)$ *does not contain singular vectors of weights* $-7\Lambda_0+2\Lambda_4$*,* $-5\Lambda_0+\Lambda_1$ *and* $-7\Lambda_0+\Lambda_3$*.*

Proof. We first note that $F_4^{(1)}$–modules with highest weights $-7\Lambda_0+2\Lambda_4$ and $-5\Lambda_0+\Lambda_1$ do not have integral conformal weights. (The corresponding lowest conformal weights are $\frac{13}{6}$ and $\frac{3}{2}$, respectively.)

The lowest conformal weight of module of highest weight $-7\Lambda_0+\Lambda_3$ for $F_4^{(1)}$ is 2, but one can directly check that there is no non-trivial singular vector of that weight in $L_{E_6}(-3,0)$. We use the construction of the root system of type E_6 from [11], [23]. For a subset $S=\{i_1,\dots,i_k\}\subseteq\{1,2,3,4,5\}$ with odd number of elements (i.e $k=1,3$ or 5), denote by $e_{(i_1\dots i_k)}$ the (suitably chosen) root vector associated to positive root

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon_8-\epsilon_7-\epsilon_6+\sum_{i=1}^{5}(-1)^{p(i)}\epsilon_i\right),$$

such that $p(i)=0$ for $i\in S$ and $p(i)=1$ for $i\notin S$. We will use the symbol $f_{(i_1\dots i_k)}$ for the root vector associated to corresponding negative root vector. Since

$$\begin{aligned}v_{E_6}=(&e_{(5)}(-1)e_{(12345)}(-1)+e_{(125)}(-1)e_{(345)}(-1)\\&+e_{(135)}(-1)e_{(245)}(-1)+e_{(235)}(-1)e_{(145)}(-1))\mathbf{1}\end{aligned}$$

is a singular vector for $E_6^{(1)}$ in $N_{E_6}(-3,0)$, one concludes that $v_{E_6}\in N_{E_6}^1(-3,0)$. Furthermore, v_{E_6} is the unique (up to a scalar) singular vector of conformal weight 2 in $N_{E_6}(-3,0)$.

Any vector of weight $-7\Lambda_0+\Lambda_3$ for $F_4^{(1)}$ in $L_{E_6}(-3,0)$ is a linear combination of vectors:

$$\begin{aligned}&e_{(235)}(-1)e_{(234)}(-1)\mathbf{1},\ e_{(235)}(-1)e_{\epsilon_5+\epsilon_4}(-1)\mathbf{1},\ e_{(145)}(-1)e_{(234)}(-1)\mathbf{1},\\&e_{(145)}(-1)e_{\epsilon_5+\epsilon_4}(-1)\mathbf{1},e_{(12345)}(-1)e_{(4)}(-1)\mathbf{1},\ e_{(12345)}(-1)e_{\epsilon_5-\epsilon_1}(-1)\mathbf{1},\\&e_{(245)}(-1)e_{(134)}(-1)\mathbf{1},e_{(245)}(-1)e_{\epsilon_5+\epsilon_3}(-1)\mathbf{1},\ e_{(345)}(-1)e_{(124)}(-1)\mathbf{1},\\&e_{(345)}(-1)e_{\epsilon_5+\epsilon_2}(-1)\mathbf{1}.\end{aligned}$$

The singularity assumption then directly implies that such a vector is a linear combination of vectors $f_{(1)}(0)v_{E_6}$ and $f_{\epsilon_5-\epsilon_4}(0)v_{E_6}$, which is clearly zero in $L_{E_6}(-3,0)$. The proof follows. □

5. CONFORMAL EMBEDDING OF $L_{G_2}(-2,0)$ INTO $L_{B_3}(-2,0)$ AND $L_{D_4}(-2,0)$

In this section we consider the conformal embedding associated to a pair of simple Lie algebras (D_4, G_2). It turns out that this case is more complicated and one can not directly apply general results from Section 3. The main difference between this case and the cases studied in Section 4 is that $L_{D_4}(-2,0)$ contains a non-trivial singular vector for $G_2^{(1)}$ of conformal weight 2. In order to prove that $L_{D_4}(-2,0)$ is a completely reducible $L_{G_2}(-2,0)$–module we shall need more precise analysis, which uses the conformal embedding of $L_{B_3}(-2,0)$ in $L_{D_4}(-2,0)$ from Section 4.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the simple complex Lie algebra of type D_4. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ has an order three automorphism θ, induced from the Dynkin diagram automorphism, such that the fixed point subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is isomorphic to the simple Lie algebra of type G_2. We have the decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ into eigenspaces of θ:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{(1)}(\omega_1) \oplus V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{(2)}(\omega_1), \tag{5.1}$$

where $V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{(1)}(\omega_1)$ is generated by highest weight vector $e_{\epsilon_1-\epsilon_4} + \xi e_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_4} + \xi^2 e_{\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}$ and $V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{(2)}(\omega_1)$ by $e_{\epsilon_1-\epsilon_4} + \xi e_{\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3} + \xi^2 e_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_4}$, for suitably chosen root vectors. (Here ξ denotes the primitive third root of unity.)

Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is also a subalgebra of Lie algebra of type B_3 considered in Section 4, which we now denote by $\mathfrak{g}'$. We have

$$\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{(3)}(\omega_1), \tag{5.2}$$

where $V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{(3)}(\omega_1)$ is generated by highest weight vector $e_{\epsilon_1-\epsilon_4} + e_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_4} - 2e_{\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}$.

By using (5.2), the decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ from Section 4 and the fact that $V_{B_3}(\omega_1) \cong V_{G_2}(\omega_1)$ as $\mathfrak{g}_0$–module, one obtains another decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{(3)}(\omega_1) \oplus V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{(4)}(\omega_1), \tag{5.3}$$

where $V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{(4)}(\omega_1)$ is generated by $e_{\epsilon_1-\epsilon_4} - e_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_4}$.

By using (5.2) and results from Section 2 we see that $\widetilde{L}_{G_2}(-2,0)$ is conformally embedded into $L_{B_3}(-2,0)$, which is conformally embedded in $L_{D_4}(-2,0)$. Recall that Theorem 4.1 gives that the vertex operator algebra $L_{D_4}(-2,0)$ has an order two automorphism σ which defines the following decomposition:

$$L_{D_4}(-2,0) = L_{B_3}(-2,0) \oplus L_{B_3}(-2,\omega_1). \tag{5.4}$$

Denote by $\widetilde{L}_{G_2}^{(i)}(-2,\omega_1)$ the $G_2^{(1)}$–submodule of $L_{D_4}(-2,0)$ generated by top component $V_{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{(i)}(\omega_1)$, for $i = 1,2,3,4$. Furthermore, one can directly check that vector

$$\begin{aligned} v = (&e_{\epsilon_1-\epsilon_3}(-1)e_{\epsilon_1-\epsilon_4}(-1) + e_{\epsilon_2-\epsilon_4}(-1)e_{\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}(-1) + e_{\epsilon_2+\epsilon_4}(-1)e_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_4}(-1) \\ &-e_{\epsilon_1-\epsilon_3}(-1)e_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_4}(-1) - e_{\epsilon_2-\epsilon_4}(-1)e_{\epsilon_1-\epsilon_4}(-1) - e_{\epsilon_2+\epsilon_4}(-1)e_{\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}(-1))\mathbf{1} \end{aligned}$$

is the unique (up to a scalar) non-trivial singular vector of weight $-5\Lambda_0+\Lambda_2$ for $G_2^{(1)}$ in $L_{D_4}(-2,0)$. Denote by $\widetilde{L}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_2)$ the $G_2^{(1)}$–submodule generated by v. We also have that $\theta(v)=v$ and $\sigma(v)=-v$. This implies that $v\in L_{B_3}(-2,\omega_1)$.

Now we consider $L_{B_3}(-2,0)$ and $L_{B_3}(-2,\omega_1)$ as $G_2^{(1)}$–modules. We use the following lemmas:

Lemma 5.1. *We have the following tensor product decompositions:*

(1) $V_{G_2}(\omega_1)\otimes V_{G_2}(\omega_1)=V_{G_2}(2\omega_1)\oplus V_{G_2}(\omega_2)\oplus V_{G_2}(\omega_1)\oplus V_{G_2}(0)$,
(2) $V_{G_2}(\omega_1)\otimes V_{G_2}(\omega_2)=V_{G_2}(\omega_1+\omega_2)\oplus V_{G_2}(2\omega_1)\oplus V_{G_2}(\omega_1)$.

Lemma 5.2. *As a* $G_2^{(1)}$*–module,* $L_{D_4}(-2,0)$ *does not contain singular vectors of weights* $-6\Lambda_0+2\Lambda_1$ *and* $-7\Lambda_0+\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2$*.*

Proof. The proof follows from the observation that $G_2^{(1)}$–modules of highest weights $-6\Lambda_0+2\Lambda_1$ and $-7\Lambda_0+\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2$ do not have integral conformal weights. (The corresponding lowest conformal weights are $\frac{7}{3}$ and $\frac{7}{2}$, respectively.) □

Proposition 5.3. *We have:*

(1) $L_{B_3}(-2,0)=\widetilde{L}_{G_2}(-2,0)+\widetilde{L}^{(3)}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_1)$.
(2) $L_{B_3}(-2,\omega_1)=\widetilde{L}^{(4)}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_1)+\widetilde{L}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_2)$.

Proof. (1) Since v is the unique (up to a scalar) singular vector of weight $-5\Lambda_0+\Lambda_2$ for $G_2^{(1)}$ in $L_{D_4}(-2,0)$, it follows that there is no singular vector of that weight in $L_{B_3}(-2,0)$. The tensor product decomposition from Lemma 5.1 (1), Lemma 5.2 and standard fusion rules arguments now imply that $\widetilde{L}_{G_2}(-2,0)+\widetilde{L}^{(3)}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_1)$ is a vertex subalgebra of $L_{B_3}(-2,0)$ which contains generators of $L_{B_3}(-2,0)$. The claim (1) follows. Claim (1), Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 and standard fusion rules arguments now imply that $\widetilde{L}^{(4)}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_1)+\widetilde{L}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_2)$ is an $L_{B_3}(-2,0)$–submodule of the irreducible module $L_{B_3}(-2,\omega_1)$. This implies claim (2). □

Theorem 5.4. *We have:*

$$L_{D_4}(-2,0)=L_{G_2}(-2,0)\oplus L_{G_2}(-2,\omega_2)\oplus L^{(1)}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_1)\oplus L^{(2)}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_1).$$

Proof. First we notice that relation (5.4) and Proposition 5.3 give

$$L_{D_4}(-2,0)=\widetilde{L}_{G_2}(-2,0)+\widetilde{L}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_2)+\widetilde{L}^{(3)}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_1)+\widetilde{L}^{(4)}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_1),$$

which also implies

$$L_{D_4}(-2,0)=\widetilde{L}_{G_2}(-2,0)\oplus\widetilde{L}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_2)\oplus\widetilde{L}^{(1)}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_1)\oplus\widetilde{L}^{(2)}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_1).$$

By using the fact that $\mathbb{Z}_3$–orbifold components of a simple vertex operator algebra are simple (cf. [14]), we get that

$$V=\widetilde{L}_{G_2}(-2,0)\oplus\widetilde{L}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_2)$$

is a simple vertex operator algebra, and that $\widetilde{L}^{(1)}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_1)$ and $\widetilde{L}^{(2)}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_1)$ are its irreducible modules. Since the automorphism σ acts as -1 on $\widetilde{L}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_2)$, it follows that V is $\mathbb{Z}_2$–graded, so its graded components are also simple. Theorem 3 from [14] now implies that $\widetilde{L}^{(1)}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_1)$ and $\widetilde{L}^{(2)}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_1)$ are inequivalent V–modules, and Theorem 6.1 from the same paper then implies that $\widetilde{L}^{(1)}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_1)$ and $\widetilde{L}^{(2)}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_1)$ are irreducible as $L_{G_2}(-2,0)$–modules. The proof follows. □

It follows from the proof of Theorem 5.4 that $\widetilde{L}^{(3)}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_1)$ and $\widetilde{L}^{(4)}_{G_2}(-2,\omega_1)$ are also irreducible $L_{G_2}(-2,0)$–modules, so we obtain

Corollary 5.5. *We have:*
(1) $L_{B_3}(-2,0) = L_{G_2}(-2,0) \oplus L_{G_2}(-2,\omega_1)$,
(2) $L_{B_3}(-2,\omega_1) = L_{G_2}(-2,\omega_1) \oplus L_{G_2}(-2,\omega_2)$.

REFERENCES

[1] D. Adamović, Some rational vertex algebras, *Glas. Mat. Ser. III* **29** (49) (1994), 25-40.

[2] D. Adamović, A construction of some ideals in affine vertex algebras, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* (2003), 971–980.

[3] D. Adamović and A. Milas, Vertex operator algebras associated to modular invariant representations for $A_1^{(1)}$, *Math. Res. Lett.* **2** (1995), 563–575.

[4] D. Adamović and O. Perše, On coset vertex algebras with central charge 1, *Math. Commun.* **15** (2010), 143–157.

[5] D. Adamović and O. Perše, The vertex algebra $M(1)^+$ and certain affine vertex algebras of level -1, arXiv:1006.1752.

[6] R. C. Arcuri, J. F. Gomes and D. I. Olive, Conformal subalgebras and symmetric spaces, *Nuclear Phys. B* **285** (1987), 327–339.

[7] J. D. Axtell and K. H. Lee, Vertex operator algebras associated to type G affine Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* **337** (2011), 195–223; arXiv:1011.3473v1.

[8] F. A. Bais and P. G. Bouwknegt, A classification of subgroup truncations of the bosonic string, *Nuclear Phys. B* **279** (1987), 561–570.

[9] J. Bockenhauer, D. E. Evans and Y. Kawahigashi, Chiral structure of modular invariants for subfactors, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **210** (2000), 733–784.

[10] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068–3071.

[11] Bourbaki, Groupes et algèbras de Lie, Hermann, Paris, 1975.

[12] P. Cellini, V. G. Kac, P. Möseneder Frajria and P. Papi, Decomposition rules for conformal pairs associated to symmetric spaces and abelian subalgebras of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Lie algebras, *Adv. Math.* **207** (2006), 156–204.

[13] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Simple currents and extensions of vertex operator algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **180** (1996) 671–707.

[14] C. Dong and G. Mason, On quantum Galois theory, *Duke Math. J.* **86** (1997), 305–321.

[15] D. E. Evans and T. Gannon, Modular invariants and twisted equivariant K-theory, *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* **3** (2009), 209–296.

[16] A. Felikson, A. Retakh and P. Tumarkin, Regular subalgebras of affine Kac-Moody algebras, *J. Phys. A* **41** (2008), 16 pp.

[17] E. Frenkel and D. Ben-Zvi, *Vertex algebras and algebraic curves*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 88, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.

[18] I. Frenkel, Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104**, 1993.

[19] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Appl. Math., **Vol. 134**, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.

[20] I. Frenkel and Y.-C. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123–168.

[21] J. Frohlich, J. Fuchs, I. Runkel and C. Schweigert, Algebras in tensor categories and coset conformal field theories, *Fortsch. Phys.* **52** (2004), 672–677.

[22] J. Fuchs, *Affine Lie algebras and quantum groups. An introduction with applications in conformal field theory*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. xiv, **433 p.** (1992).

[23] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 9. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1972. xii+169 pp.

[24] V. G. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, 3rd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[25] V. G. Kac, Vertex Algebras for Beginners, University Lecture Series, Second Edition, AMS, Vol. 10 (1998).

[26] V. Kac and M. Wakimoto, Modular invariant representations of infinite dimensional Lie algebras and superalgebras, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **85** (1988), 4956–4960.

[27] V. Kac and M. Wakimoto, Classification of modular invariant representations of affine algebras, in *Infinite Dimensional Lie algebras and groups*, Advanced Series in Math. Phys. **7**, World Scientific, Teaneck NJ, 1989.

[28] A. Kirillov and V. Ostrik, On a q-analogue of the McKay correspondence and the ADE classification of $\widehat{sl_2}$ conformal field theories, *Adv. in Math.* **171** (2002), 183–227.

[29] J. Lepowsky and H. Li, *Introduction to vertex operator algebras and their representations*, Progress in Math., **Vol. 227**, Birkhauser, Boston, 2004.

[30] H.-S. Li, Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **109** (1996), 143–195.

[31] H. Li, Extension of vertex operator algebras by a self-dual simple module, *J. Algebra* **187** (1997), 236–267.

[32] O. Perše, Vertex operator algebras associated to type B affine Lie algebras on admissible half-integer levels, *J. Algebra* **307** (2007), 215–248.

[33] O. Perše, Vertex operator algebra analogue of embedding of B_4 into F_4, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **211** (2007), 702–720.

[34] O. Perše, Vertex operator algebras associated to certain admissible modules for affine Lie algebras of type A, *Glas. Mat. Ser. III* **43** (63) (2008), 41–57.

[35] O. Perše, Embeddings of vertex operator algebras associated to orthogonal affine Lie algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **38** (2010), 1761–1778.

[36] A. N. Schellekens and N. P. Warner, Conformal subalgebras of Kac-Moody algebras, *Phys. Rev. D* **34** (1986), 3092–3096.

[37] M. Wakimoto, *Lectures on infinite-dimensional Lie algebra*, World Scientific, River Edge NJ, 2001.

Faculty of Science - Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb, Croatia
E-mail address: `adamovic@math.hr; perse@math.hr`